



Advanced Algorithm Design and Analysis (Lecture 12)

SW5 fall 2004

Simonas Šaltenis

E1-215b

simas@cs.aau.dk

Amortized analysis

- Main goals of the lecture:
 - *to understand what is **amortized analysis**, when is it used, and how it differs from the average-case analysis;*
 - *to be able to apply the techniques of the **aggregate analysis**, the **accounting method**, and the **potential method** to analyze operations on simple data structures.*

Sequence of operations

- *The problem:*
 - We have a data structure
 - We perform a sequence of operations
 - Operations may be of different types (e.g., *insert*, *delete*)
 - Depending on the state of the structure the actual cost of an operation may differ (e.g., *inserting into a sorted array*)
 - Just analyzing the worst-case time of a single operation may not say too much
 - We want the average running time of an operation (*but from the worst-case sequence of operations!*).

Binary counter example

- *Example data structure: a binary counter*
 - Operation: *Increment*
 - Implementation: An array of bits $A[0..k-1]$

Increment (A)

```
1 i ← 0
2 while i < k and A[i] = 1 do
3   A[i] ← 0
4   i ← i + 1
5 if i < k then A[i] ← 1
```

- *How many bit assignments do we have to do in the **worst-case** to perform Increment(A)?*
 - But usually we do much less bit assignments!

Analysis of binary counter

- *How many bit-assignments do we do on average?*
 - Let's consider a sequence of n *Increment's*
 - Let's compute the sum of bit assignments:
 - $A[0]$ assigned on each operation: n assignments
 - $A[1]$ assigned every two operations: $n/2$ assignments
 - $A[2]$ assigned every four ops: $n/4$ assignments
 - $A[i]$ assigned every 2^i ops: $n/2^i$ assignments

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \lg n \rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^i} \right\rfloor < 2n$$

- Thus, a single operation takes $2n/n = 2 = O(1)$ time **amortized** time

Aggregate analysis

- **Aggregate analysis** – a simple way to do amortized analysis
 - Treat all operations equally
 - Compute the *worst-case* running time of a sequence of n operations.
 - Divide by n to get an amortized running time

Another look at binary counter

- *Another way of looking at it (proving the amortized time):*
 - To assign a bit, I have to use one dollar
 - When I assign "1", I use one dollar, plus I put one dollar in my "savings account" associated with that bit.
 - When I assign "0", I can do it using a dollar from the savings account on that bit
 - *How much do I have to pay for the Increment(A) for this scheme to work?*
 - Only one assignment of "1" in the algorithm. Obviously, two dollars will always pay for the operation

Accounting method

■ Principles of the **accounting method**

- 1. Associate credit accounts with different parts of the structure
- 2. Associate amortized costs with operations and show how they credit or debit accounts
 - Different costs may be assigned to different operations
- Requirement (c – real cost, c' – amortized cost):

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c'_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$$

- This is equivalent to requiring that the sum of all credits in the data structure is *non-negative*
 - *What would it mean not satisfy this requirement?*
- 3. Show that this requirement is satisfied

Stack example

- Start with an empty stack and consider a sequence of n operations: *Push*, *Pop*, and *Multipop*(k).
 - *What is the worst-case running time of an operation from this sequence?*
 - 1. Let's associate an account with each element in the stack
 - 2. After pushing an element, put a dollar into the account associated with it,
 - then *Pop* and *Multipop* can work only using money in the accounts (amortized cost 0)
 - *Push* has amortized cost 2
 - 3. The total credit in the structure is always ≥ 0
 - Thus, the amortized cost of an operation is $O(1)$

Potential method

- *We can have one account associated with the whole structure:*
 - We call it a **potential**
 - It's a function that maps a state of the data structure after operation i to a number: $\Phi(D_i)$

$$c'_i = c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1})$$

- The main step of this method is defining the potential function
 - Requirement: $\Phi(D_n) - \Phi(D_0) \geq 0$
- Once we have Φ , we can compute the amortized costs of operations

Binary counter example

- *How do we define the potential function for the binary counter?*
 - Potential of A : b_i – a number of “1”s
 - *What is $\Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1})$, if the number of bits set to 0 in operation i is t_i ?*
 - *What is the amortized cost of Increment(A)?*
 - We showed that $\Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) \leq 1 - t_i$
 - Real cost $c_i = t_i + 1$
 - Thus,

$$c'_i = c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) \leq (t_i + 1) + (1 - t_i) = 2$$

Potential method

- *We can analyze the counter even if it does not start at 0 using potential method:*
 - Let's say we start with b_0 and end with b_n "1"s
 - Observe that:
$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = \sum_{i=1}^n c'_i - \Phi(D_n) + \Phi(D_0)$$
 - We have that: $c'_i \leq 2$
 - This means that: $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \leq 2n - b_n + b_0$
 - Note that $b_0 \leq k$. This means that, if $k = O(n)$ then the total actual cost is $O(n)$.

Dynamic table

- *It is often useful to have a dynamic table:*
 - The table that expands and contracts as necessary when new elements are added or deleted.
 - **Expands** when insertion is done and the table is already full
 - **Contracts** when deletion is done and there is “too much” free space
 - Contracting or expanding involves **relocating**
 - Allocate new memory space of the new size
 - Copy all elements from the table into the new space
 - Free the old space
 - Worst-case time for insertions and deletions:
 - Without relocation: $O(1)$
 - With relocation: $O(m)$, where m – the number of elements in the table

Requirements

- Load factor
 - *num* – current number of elements in the table
 - *size* – the total number of elements that can be stored in the allocated memory
 - *Load factor* $\alpha = num/size$
- It would be nice to have these two properties:
 - Amortized cost of insert and delete is constant
 - The load factor is always above some constant
 - That is the table is not too empty

Naïve insertions

- *Let's look only at insertions: Why not expand the table by some constant when it overflows?*
 - *What is the amortized cost of an insertion?*
 - *Does it satisfy the second requirement?*

Aggregate analysis

- *The “right” way to expand – double the size of the table*
 - Let’s do an aggregate analysis
 - The cost of i -th insertion is:
 - i , if $i-1$ is an exact power of 2
 - 1, otherwise
 - Let’s sum up...
 - The total cost of n insertions is then $< 3n$
 - Accounting method gives the intuition:
 - Pay \$1 for inserting the element
 - Put \$1 into element’s account for reallocating it later
 - Put \$1 into the account of another element to pay for a later relocation of that element

Potential function

- *What potential function do we want to have?*
 - $\Phi_i = 2num_i - size_i$
 - It is always non-negative
 - Amortized cost of insertion:
 - Insertion triggers an expansion
 - Insertion does not trigger an expansion
 - Both cases: 3

Deletions

- *Deletions: What if we contract whenever the table is about to get less than half full?*
 - *Would the amortized running times of a sequence of insertions and deletions be constant?*
 - **Problem:** we want to avoid doing reallocations often without having accumulated “the money” to pay for that!

Deletions

- *Idea: delay contraction!*
 - Contract only when $num = size/4$
 - Second requirement still satisfied: $\alpha \geq 1/4$
- *How do we define the potential function?*

$$\Phi = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot num - size & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1/2 \\ size/2 - num & \text{if } \alpha < 1/2 \end{cases}$$

- It is always non-negative
- Let's compute the amortized running time of deletions:
 - $\alpha < 1/2$ (with contraction, without contraction)