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This document represents the public version of the evaluation of the semester. The document forms together with the semester and teaching evaluation report the basis of any adjustments to the semester’s description, planning, execution and/or evaluation of the next iteration of the semester and are used by the coming semester coordinator and semester planning group.

The document also represents the study board’s response to the students, teachers, coordinators and other interested parties about possible consequences of conditions that the students of the semester have pointed out.

The public conclusions and recommendations of the semester coordinator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. How do you assess the subject of your thesis work?</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How do you assess the quality of the thesis supervision?</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. How do you assess the amount of supervision that you have received?</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. To what extent has the master’s programme as a whole lived up to your expectations?</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. To what extent do you agree that your master’s programme has given you the following competence profile:

- Have knowledge within one or more academic fields, which in certain areas are based on top international research within an academic field: 5.0
- Understand and critically relate to the knowledge of the academic field/s and to identify scientific problems: 5.0
- Manage the scientific methods and tools of the academic field/fields and to manage general skills connected to employment within the academic...: 5.0
- Assess and choose among the scientific methods, tools and general skills of the academic field and to come up with new analysis and solutions: 5.0
- Discuss professional and scientific problems with both academic colleagues and non-specialists: 4.5
- Perform work- and development situations that are complex, unpredictable and require new solution models: 5.0
- Independently initiate and perform academic and cross-disciplinary cooperation and undertake professional responsibility: 4.0
- Independently take responsibility of own academic development and specialization: 4.5

13. How would you rate your overall study load: 5.0
Conclusion of the study board

CS(it)
- General satisfaction with the education. There are no comments the study board needs to act upon.
- **Decision**: All evaluation reports will be forwarded to the relevant education coordinators